

Closter, Mar. 4, '55.

Dear Mr. [unclear]:

I greatly desire to see  
a man. But I am doing a  
very heavy job, have got my  
wife & daughters interested in  
it and it is moving rap-  
-idly toward completion.

This job has been annoying  
me for yrs. When finished  
I shall feel as if a  
mountain had been lifted  
from my shoulders.

The whole thing has just  
come to a stand still for  
the want of about 2, or 3,  
dollars worth of paper & tissue.  
Please don't apologize for not paying before.

The job can be finished in a week.  
Truly yours, C. F. Allen

P.S. You remark that you think me rather hard on the Lesq. & James Paper.

In the first place <sup>the name</sup> appears no name ~~was~~ ~~used~~ used in Lesquerens' ~~connection with~~ ~~connection with~~ that paper ~~was~~, like Schim-

-peris, for the purpose of "giving a higher degree of authority to the descriptions". For I looked it through carefully without finding any trace of those sterling qualities which characterize his former

Brevin's ~~pl~~ ~~re~~ ~~te~~ ~~ti~~ ~~o~~ ~~n~~ ~~s~~ viz' close and patient research & strict fidelity to truth. Besides I believe he has not ~~been~~ at a loss with the microscope & Zeiss's lenses & the death of his former laborer and friend, the great and good Gallivant. The fact is Mr. Lesquerens has been badly imposed upon in this matter.

I would much rather not have criticized the paper, and although I had finished my notes I should not have published them, now at least, but for an insulting letter I got from Mr. James. Among other things he said that he speaks of which I had been describing "ought not to be recognized by biologists," and that I was constantly "making new species

When you find "evolutionists"  
"all are varieties,"

you are in a very bad way  
great disunity & I  
have only "chimpanzee" old  
Synop. Mitter's Monkeys  
of S. America, & Sulivants  
works, but have  
many European speci-  
mens. Of course I  
have described a number  
of old Monkeys as new,  
but not now I think  
these beyondists, much;  
do,

C. F. H.